SUPERVISED LEARNING:

Next Day Rise/Fall Stock Price Predictor

Kaci Kus September 25, 2020

Motivation

- Stock price prediction is a topic of interest for companies and investors
 - Buy low, sell high
- Difficult to predict and highly volatile due to changes in:
 - Politics
 - Leadership
 - New releases
 - Investor sentiment





Short-term prediction

- Next day stock price prediction can:
 - Help day/swing traders decide when to buy/sell
- Recently tech company stocks skyrocketed in August
 - Tesla grew 81% in 20 days!
- Two ways to handle prediction model
 - Classification (rise/fall)
 - Regression (predict actual \$ price)

Tesla Stock Price



\$274 on Aug. 11

Goal

 Use supervised learning to predict whether any companies closing stock price will INCREASE or DECREASE the next day based on that day's news headlines and historical stock price data.

Stretch Goal:

- Test basic regression model

OUTLINE

- Data set
- Base model
- Feature engineering
- Models on augmented data
- Results
- Future work

Data set: Collection

API requests to get most recent 100 news headlines for companies from S&P 500 list

 Sentiment analysis using NLTK library

 Scores of 0 were removed, and remaining scores were averaged for each day

Gather historical stock price data from Yahoo Finance

- Use the yfinance Python library
- Also collected next-day stock price to use as target variable



Feature data set

- 14,134 Observations
- 18 columns
- No missing data

Target data

- 14,134 Observations
- 2 columns

 A 	dd colur	nn for	binary ta	rget			
<class< td=""><td>ss 'pand</td><td>as.core</td><td>e.frame.I</td><td>DataFr</td><td>ame'></td></class<>	ss 'pand	as.core	e.frame.I	DataFr	ame'>		
Int64	4Index:	14134 e	entries,	0 to	14133		
Data	columns	(tota]	L 2 colum	nns):			
#	Column	Non-Nu	ill Count	: Dty	ре		
0	1d	14134	non-null	l flo	at64		
1	ld_prc	14134	non-null	l flo	at64		
dtypes: float64(2)							
memory usage: 331.3 KB							

<class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""></class>								
4Index: 1413	4 entr:	ies, 0 to	14133					
columns (to	tal 18	columns):						
Column	Non-Nu	ull Count	Dtype					
company	14134	non-null	object					
date	14134	non-null	object					
30d	14134	non-null	float64					
7d	14134	non-null	float64					
prc_30d	14134	non-null	float64					
prc_7d	14134	non-null	float64					
std_30d	14134	non-null	float64					
std_7d	14134	non-null	float64					
open_price	14134	non-null	float64					
high	14134	non-null	float64					
low	14134	non-null	float64					
close	14134	non-null	float64					
volume	14134	non-null	float64					
neg	14134	non-null	float64					
neu	14134	non-null	float64					
pos	14134	non-null	float64					
compound	14134	non-null	float64					
prc_volume	14134	non-null	float64					
<pre>dtypes: float64(16), object(2)</pre>								
memory usage: 2.0+ MB								
	ss 'pandas.c AIndex: 1413 columns (to Column company date 30d 7d prc_30d prc_7d std_30d std_7d open_price high low close volume neg neu pos compound prc_volume es: float64(ss 'pandas.core.fra AIndex: 14134 entr: columns (total 18 Column Non-Nu company 14134 date 14134 30d 14134 7d 14134 prc_30d 14134 prc_7d 14134 std_30d 14134 std_30d 14134 std_7d 14134 open_price 14134 high 14134 low 14134 close 14134 neg 14134 neu 14134 pos 14134	ss 'pandas.core.frame.DataFr AIndex: 14134 entries, 0 to columns (total 18 columns): Column Non-Null Count company 14134 non-null date 14134 non-null 30d 14134 non-null 7d 14134 non-null prc_30d 14134 non-null prc_7d 14134 non-null std_30d 14134 non-null std_7d 14134 non-null std_7d 14134 non-null open_price 14134 non-null high 14134 non-null low 14134 non-null close 14134 non-null neu 14134 non-null neu 14134 non-null neu 14134 non-null pos 14134 non-null					

Outlier removal

Boxplots of continuous variable distribution

0.5

0.0

3000

1000

0

0.6

0.2 0.0

10

5

0

prc_volume

compound

prc_/u

0

high

nea

0

prc_volume

100 0 200 0 P02 −1 2 −2 0.0 52 -0.5 POE 문 -100 0 -200 -200 -3 -300 10C_304 30d 74 150 8 100 3000 2000 bei bii P00 100 75 std_7d 2000 - ²⁰⁰⁰ 50 25 0 0 0 std 7d std 30d open price le8 3000 3000 2 0.4 olume 1 2000 92000 92 MO 1000 1000 0 0 0 volume low dose 1.0 0.8 0.8 -0.5 0.0 -0.5 0.5 0.6 р 0.6 8 0.4 0.4

0.2

0.0

pos

400

0.2

neu

 6 outliers stand out

Initial correlation matrix

- There collinearity
- Std_30d is strongly correlated with std_7d, close, low, high, and open price
 - Drop std_7d because it has weaker correlation with target
- Open, high, low, and close are very strongly correlated
 - Try to combine into new variables
- Neg and pos are strongly correlated with compound sentiment score
 - Drop them from feature set



TARGET IS BINARY: 0: NO CHANGE OR DECREASE 1: POSITIVE NEXT DAY CHANGE

- 8944 observations == 1
- 5190 observations == 0

Baseline Logistic Regression Model

- 0.7/0.3 train-test-split
- Accuracy: 0.62
- Assigning everything to be a next day increase (1)
- n next day increase observations/ total observations = 2,628/4,239

= 0.62

Not a very good model!

Confusion Matrix



Baseline Gradient Boosting Model

0

- GridSearchCV() used to find optimal parameters
- Learning rate: 0.1
- Max depth: 9
- N_estimators: 500
- Subsample: 0.7
- Accuracy: 0.72
- Precession: 0.73
- Recall: 0.87

Slightly better accuracy, ⁻ but it is still scoring >50% false positives, which is too risky!

False positive True negative - 0.8 - 0.7 0.48 0.52 - 0.6 -0.5 False negative True positive - 0.4 0.13 0.87 - 0.3 - 0.2 1

Confusion Matrix

IMPROVING THE MODEL

- MinMaxScaler() used to scale the data from 0 to 1 to account for binary features (such as day of the week).
 - Scaler was fit to only the training feature set, and this scaler was then applied to the test feature set.
 - Target values were not scaled.
- GridSearchCV() used to identify optimal parameters for each model
- Reduce collinearity of variables

Feature engineering

- Convert date to day of week categorical variable
- Create open : close price ratio variable
- Calculate daily range : close price ratio.
- Drop neg, pos, std_7d, and date



No features correlated > 0.7

Balancing target data



- Impact of unbalanced target variable:
 - Contributing to over-predicting false-positives
- Solution:
 - Re-sample the observations with target == 0
 - Random sampling with replacement to create 8940 observations with target == 0

Logistic Regression Model after feature engineering

Still a very poor estimator

- Predicting everything to be a next day decrease
- Accuracy: 0.5



Gradient Boosting Model after feature engineering

- Accuracy: 0.83
- Precision: 0.83
- Recall: 0.82

Significant improvement in performance!

Confusion Matrix



Is Sentiment Analysis of Headlines Significantly Impacting Results?

With sentiment analysis

Without Sentiment analysis



- Sentiment analysis is not contributing heavily to the model performance
- Sentiment analysis does improve false negative and true positive scores
- Worth keeping and attempting to improve in the future

Support Vector Machines Model

- GridSearchCV()
 - C = 10
 - Gamma = 1
 - Kernel = 'rbf'
- Accuracy: 0.6
- Precision: 0.66
- Recall: 0.42

All around weaker performance than gradient boosting model



Random Forest Classifier

- GridSearchCV()
 - Criterion: 'gini'
 - Max depth: 15
 - Min samples split: 10
 - n_estimators: 300
- Accuracy: 0.77
- Precision: 0.82
- Recall: 0.70

Slightly better and slightly worse than gradient boosting model

Confusion Matrix



K-Nearest Neighbors model

- K = sqrt(n)
 - N = 10,730
 - K = 105
- Accuracy: 0.64
- Precision: 0.79
- Recall: 0.39

Really good at identifying next-day decreases, not so good at identifying increases



Results

	Logistic Regressio n	Gradient Boosting	Support Vector Machines	Random Forest	K-NN
Accuracy	0.5	0.83	0.6	0.77	0.64
Precision	0	0.83	0.66	0.82	0.79
Recall	0	0.82	0.42	0.7	0.39
True positive	0	0.83	0.42	0.7	0.39
True negative	1	0.84	0.78	0.85	0.9
False positive	0	0.16	0.22	0.15	0.1
False negative	1	0.17	0.58	0.3	0.61

Cross-validation

- All models seem to be relatively consistent.
- Gradient Boosting Model has the greatest variation, but still only has a range of 0.05, which is not too bad.



Results

KNN Model:

- Really good for identifying next day decreases
- Conservative, risk-averse model
- Gradient Boosting Classifier:
 - All around best performance

Bonus Model: Regression

- OLS regression model:
 - Adj. R-squared: 0.2
 - Very poor performance

Future work:

- Improve regression model
- More feature engineering
 - Make more specialized dictionary for sentiment analysis
- Pull additional stock history data
 - Running averages

QUESTIONS?

BACKUP SLIDES

Feature importance of gradient boosting model with augmented features





Next day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing price Next day % change in closing price



Next day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing price Next day % change in closing price



Next day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing price Next day % change in closing price



Next day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing priceNext day % change in closing price Next day % change in closing price



